## Student Evaluation of Learning and Teaching (SELT) surveys University-level summary: SELT reports with high and Iow IM values

| Student Evaluation of Learning and Teaching (SELT) survey cycle | 2019 First Half cycle |  |  |  | 2019 Second Half cycle |  |  |  | 2020 First Half cycle |  |  |  | 2020 Second Half cycle |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{I} M>4.5$ |  | IM <3.5 |  | I M >4.5 |  | $\mathrm{I} \mathrm{M}<3.5$ |  | $\mathrm{I} M>4.5$ |  | I M<3.5 |  | $\mathrm{IM}>4.5$ |  | $\mathrm{I} \mathrm{M}<3.5$ |  |
| My experience of learning in the unit [Name/Code]: | Count | \% | Count | \% | Count | \% | Count | \% | Count | \% | Count | \% | Count | \% | Count | \% |
| 1. I understood the requirements and learning outcomes (i.e., objectives) for the unit. | 288 | 22\% | 62 | 5\% | 285 | 23\% | 58 | 5\% | 262 | 21\% | 46 | 4\% | 299 | 24\% | 36 | 3\% |
| 2. Assessment tasks guided my learning process and performance. | 268 | 21\% | 85 | 7\% | 274 | 22\% | 80 | 6\% | 246 | 20\% | 52 | 4\% | 290 | 23\% | 49 | 4\% |
| 3. I received timely and useful feedback on my work. | 297 | 23\% | 138 | 11\% | 310 | 25\% | 119 | 10\% | 290 | 24\% | 102 | 8\% | 322 | 26\% | 76 | 6\% |
| 4. Recommended resources (online, library, text book, handouts, etc.) were useful to my study. | 264 | 20\% | 63 | 5\% | 255 | 21\% | 59 | 5\% | 273 | 22\% | 49 | 4\% | 286 | 23\% | 45 | 4\% |
| 5. Overall, I was satisfied with the quality of this unit. | 285 | 22\% | 128 | 10\% | 268 | 22\% | 121 | 10\% | 282 | 23\% | 83 | 7\% | 299 | 24\% | 86 | 7\% |
| Overall, [Lecturer/Tutor name]: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Explains key ideas or concepts clearly. | 1,017 | 48\% | 97 | 5\% | 966 | 48\% | 87 | 4\% | 1,016 | 46\% | 62 | 3\% | 1,038 | 48\% | 70 | 3\% |
| 7. Encourages my active participation in this unit. | 1,082 | 51\% | 72 | 3\% | 1,040 | 51\% | 64 | 3\% | 1,102 | 50\% | 63 | 3\% | 1,092 | 51\% | 62 | 3\% |
| 8. Responds to student needs and concerns. | 1,126 | 53\% | 114 | 5\% | 1,034 | 51\% | 89 | 4\% | 1,138 | 52\% | 67 | 3\% | 1,151 | 53\% | 66 | 3\% |
| 9. Demonstrates quality teaching. | 1,094 | 51\% | 111 | 5\% | 1,040 | 51\% | 82 | 5\% | 1,112 | 51\% | 66 | 3\% | 1,137 | 53\% | 70 | 3\% |


| Number of SELT reports | Section A (Q1 to Q5) | Section B (Q6 to Q9) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 First Half | 1,301 | 2,138 |
| 2019 Second Half | 1,242 | 2,022 |
| 2020 First Half | 1,221 | 2,191 |
| 2020 Second Half | 1,254 | 2,160 |
| 2021 First Half | 1,234 | 2,320 |
| 2021 Second Half | 1,145 | 2,036 |
| 2022 First Half | 1,174 | 2,129 |
| 2022 Second Half | 1,199 | 2,049 |
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| Student Evaluation of Learning and Teaching (SELT) survey cycle | 2021 First Half cycle |  |  |  | 2021 Second Half cycle |  |  |  | 2022 First Half cycle |  |  |  | 2022 Second Half cycle |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IM $>4.5$ |  | IM <3.5 |  | IM $>4.5$ |  | IM<3.5 |  | IM $>4.5$ |  | IM<3.5 |  | IM $>4.5$ |  | IM<3.5 |  |
| My experience of learning in the unit [Name/Code]: | Count | \% | Count | \% | Count | \% | Count | \% | Count | \% | Count | \% | Count | \% | Count | \% |
| 1. I understood the requirements and learning outcomes (i.e., objectives) for the unit. | 300 | 24\% | 43 | 3\% | 276 | 24\% | 43 | 4\% | 292 | 25\% | 64 | 5\% | 278 | 23\% | 48 | 4\% |
| 2. Assessment tasks guided my learning process and performance. | 290 | 24\% | 59 | 5\% | 280 | 24\% | 58 | 5\% | 280 | 24\% | 79 | 7\% | 259 | 22\% | 63 | 5\% |
| 3. I received timely and useful feedback on my work. | 298 | 24\% | 92 | 7\% | 301 | 26\% | 73 | 6\% | 282 | 24\% | 121 | 10\% | 295 | 25\% | 92 | 8\% |
| 4. Recommended resources (online, library, text book, handouts, etc.) were useful to my study. | 268 | 22\% | 48 | 4\% | 286 | 25\% | 42 | 4\% | 247 | 21\% | 64 | 5\% | 255 | 21\% | 58 | 5\% |
| 5. Overall, I was satisfied with the quality of this unit. | 292 | 24\% | 84 | 7\% | 274 | 24\% | 78 | 7\% | 283 | 24\% | 105 | 9\% | 267 | 22\% | 93 | 8\% |
| Overall, [Lecturer/Tutor name]: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Explains key ideas or concepts clearly. | 1,204 | 52\% | 68 | 3\% | 1,021 | 50\% | 83 | 4\% | 1,102 | 52\% | 87 | 4\% | 1,003 | 49\% | 79 | 4\% |
| 7. Encourages my active participation in this unit. | 1,272 | 55\% | 69 | 3\% | 1,078 | 53\% | 56 | 3\% | 1,165 | 55\% | 68 | 3\% | 1.070 | 52\% | 68 | 3\% |
| 8. Responds to student needs and concerns. | 1,298 | 56\% | 76 | 3\% | 1,130 | 56\% | 59 | 3\% | 1,183 | 56\% | 93 | 4\% | 1,072 | 52\% | 76 | 4\% |
| 9. Demonstrates quality teaching. | 1,296 | 56\% | 80 | 3\% | 1,095 | 54\% | 68 | 3\% | 1,176 | 55\% | 98 | 5\% | 1,071 | 52\% | 75 | 4\% |

## Notes:

The Interpolated Median (IM) is the central tendency for the rating-type items in SELT surveys; the five-point rating scale is from Strongly Agree (=5) to Strongly Disagree (=1). The SELT survey results are finalised and reported in half-year cycles (i.e., First Half cycle and Second Half cycle). A report is generated based on the criteria that: a unit (i.e., CRN code in Banner system) has a minimum of 10 enrolled students, and a minimum of five student responses were received for calculating IM values.

The trend analysis compares recent finalised SELT survey results with results for equivalent items in previous survey cycles. The first table displays the number and percentage of units with relatively high IM values (i.e. IM values >4.5) and low IM values (i.e. IM values < 3.5) for each Core Item of SELT questionnaire, and the second table displays the total number of reports that were generated and disseminated for each half-year cycle.
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